The Crystal Structure and Phase Transition of Ammonium Hydrogen Di-Chloroacetate. I. The Crystal Structure of the Paraelectric Phase

By Mizuhiko Ichikawa

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan

(Received 2 March 1971 and in revised form 22 May 1971)

The crystal structure of ferroelectric ammonium hydrogen di-chloroacetate, NH₄H(ClCH₂COO)₂, has been determined by three-dimensional X-ray analysis at room temperature (paraelectric phase). The crystals are monoclinic, with the space group C2/c, and cell dimensions a=10.521, b=11.576, c=8.387Å, $\beta=119.48^\circ$; Z=4. The structure was solved by the heavy-atom method and refined by the blockdiagonal least-squares method using data collected on both Weissenberg photographs and an automatic diffractometer. The final R is 0.068. Each ammonium ion lies on a twofold axis and makes a hydrogen bond with an oxygen atom from each of six different chloroacetate residues. The acidic hydrogen atom is involved in a very short hydrogen bond, $O \cdots O = 2.432 \pm 0.005$ Å, which connects two chloroacetate residues across a centre of symmetry. The significance of such a crystallographically symmetrical hydrogen bond is discussed.

Introduction

Ammonium hydrogen di-chloroacetate, NH₄H(ClCH₂COO)₂, is ferroelectric below 128 °K (Ichikawa & Mitsui, 1966). To elucidate the nature of mechanism of the ferroelectric phase transition, the ³⁵Cl nuclear quadrupole resonance (NQR) frequencies were measured in a polycrystalline sample (Yamamoto, Nakamura & Chihara, 1968, 1970). The present paper deals with a three-dimensional X-ray diffraction study, which has been carried out at room temperature (paraelectric phase) in order to clarify the structural change accompanying the ferroelectric phase transition and to examine the hydrogen bonding. This is the first part of the structural studies on this compound.

Experimental

The crystal data of ammonium hydrogen di-chloroacetate are given in Table 1, of which preliminary results were previously reported (Ichikawa & Mitsui, 1966). Unit-cell parameters at room temperature were obtained from a least-squares treatment, using values of θ for 74 reflexions measured on zero-layer Weissenberg photographs taken around each crystallographic axis with Cu K α radiation.

> Table 1. Crystal data a = 10.521 (4) Å b = 11.576 (4) c = 8.387 (3) $\beta = 119.48$ (3)° V = 889.2 (5) Å³ Formula: NH₄H(ClCH₂COO)₂ Space group C2/c F.W. 206.0 $q_m = 1.528$ g.cm⁻³ $q_c = 1.538$ g.cm⁻³ F(000) = 424 Z = 4 λ (Cu $K\alpha_1$) = 1.5405 Å

Two sets of three-dimensional intensity data were used in this work. A set of data obtained by photographic methods were replaced by data collected on a diffractometer at the stage of the refinement of structure. The first set was obtained from integrated equiinclination Weissenberg photographs taken with Cu $K\alpha$ radiation using the multiple film technique about a, b and c axes (layer: $h=0\sim6$; $k=0\sim7$; and $l=0 \sim 5$). Cylindrical crystals with dimensions of $0.22 \sim$ 0.24 mm in diameter were used. The intensities were measured by visual comparison with a calibrated scale. They were corrected for Lorentz and polarization factors, absorption corrections being applied (μ Cu K α = 63 cm⁻¹). Absorption correction factors used for calculation were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1959). Corrections for the elongation of spots on the high layers were made according to Phillips (1956). The data from each layer were correlated by the method of Rollett & Sparks (1960) and then placed on an absolute scale by Wilson's (1949) method. A total of 738 independent reflexions were obtained, of which 227 were too weak to be measured.

The second set of data was obtained on a Rigaku Denki automatic four-circle diffractometer, AFC, controlled by a FACOM 270-20 computer. A spherical crystal with dimension of 0.35 mm in diameter was used. Integrated intensities were collected for $2\theta \le 70^{\circ}$ by the ω -2 θ scanning technique with Mo K α radiation monochromated with a LiF crystal. A scanning speed of 2° per min was employed and the scanning range varied by $\Delta 2\theta = 2^{\circ} + 0.8^{\circ} \tan \theta$. Background was measured for twenty seconds at the beginning and at the end of each scan. As a check on the stability of the crystal and the instruments, three standard reflexions were measured every fifty reflexions: no significant variation in their intensities was noted. No correction was made for absorption (μ Mo $K\alpha = 7.0$ cm⁻¹). 1967 reflexions were measured, of which 706 had $|F_a|$ values less than three times the relevant standard deviation derived from counting statistics, and were given the value zero. The calculation of the unit-cell parameters and the whole processing of intensity data were carried out on a HITAC 5020E computer with UNICS (1967).

Determination and refinement of the structure

The structure determination was initiated using the photographic data. The coordinates of the chlorine atoms were determined from a three-dimensional sharpened Patterson function. Four cycles of Fourier iterations led to an R value $(\sum |k|F_o| - |F_c|| / \sum k|F_o|)$ of 0.26. Refinement was carried out using a blockdiagonal least-squares method for positional parameters, and individual isotropic and anisotropic temperature factors. The R value was reduced to 0.09. The quantity minimized was $\sum w(|F_a| - 1/k|F_c|)^2$. A difference Fourier synthesis revealed all the hydrogen atoms at the expected positions.

At this stage the diffractometer data became available and subsequent least-squares calculations were performed with these data. The starting parameters were those obtained in the final cycle of the isotropic refinement using photographic data. Refinement was carried out on the coordinates of heavy atoms with anisotropic temperature factors and on the coordinates of hydrogen atoms with isotropic temperature factors. The temperature factor of the H(3) atom, however, was given a fixed value of the overall temperature factor, because its value was found to be unusually large. All parameter shifts were less than one tenth of their standard deviations in the final cycle. The standard deviations of the parameters were calculated from the sum of the weighted residuals and the diagonal terms of the inverse matrix of the normal equations. Unit weight was assigned for all the reflexions, except those with zero intensity and five strong reflexions which are likely to be affected by extinction; these five reflexions were given zero weight in the refinement. The damping factor of 0.8 was applied to the scale factor, the overall temperature factor and also to the parameters of the heavy atoms, and 0.1 to those of the hydrogen atoms. The final R value was 0.068. Atomic scattering factors were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962).

Fourier, least-squares, bond-length and bond-angle, and best-plane calculations were done on a HITAC 5020E and a FACOM 230-60 computer with UNICS (1967). The final positional parameters and temperature factors are given in Tables 2 and 3, together with their standard deviations; a list of $|F_o|$ and F_c values for the counter data is given in Table 4. In Fig. 1 a composite drawing of a three-dimensional electrondensity map is shown, while in Fig. 2 that of a difference map is shown, for which the contributions of the hydrogen atoms were excluded from the calculated structure factors.

 Table 2. The atomic positional parameters
 and their standard deviations ($\times 10^4$)

	x	у	Z
Cl	1842 (1)	4237 (1)	-607(1)
O(1)	1770 (2)	2548 (2)	3340 (3)
O(2)	3401 (2)	3781 (2)	3363 (3)
N	0	453 (3)	2500
C(1)	1161 (3)	3404 (3)	575 (4)
C(2)	2242 (3)	3255 (2)	2580 (4)
H(1)	2500	2500	5000
H(2)	727 (37)	-45 (31)	2366 (47)
H(3)	351 (40)	820 (30)	3521 (43)
H(4)	906 (37)	2678 (31)	- 55 (45)
H(5)	283 (43)	3785 (32)	479 (50)

Table 3. The temperature factors and their standard deviations ($\times 10^4$)

The anisotropic temperature factors are of the form:

 $\exp\left[-(\beta_{11}h^2 + \beta_{22}k^2 + \beta_{33}l^2 + \beta_{12}hk + \beta_{13}hl + \beta_{23}kl)\right].$

	β_{11}	β_{22}	β_{33}	β_{12}	β_{13}	β_{23}
Cl	144 (1)	127 (1)	207 (2)	-69 (2)	76 (2)	98 (2)
O(1)	140 (3)	96 (2)	243 (5)	-107 (4)	182 (6)	8 (5)
O(2)	92 (2)	69 (2)	193 (4)	-48 (3)	121 (5)	-4(4)
N	80 (3)	55 (2)	291 (9)	0	126 (9)	0
C(1)	106 (3)	92 (3)	232 (7)	-61 (5)	84 (8)	30 (7)
C(2)	89 (3)	54 (2)	208 (5)	-21(4)	133 (7)	-16(5)
H(1)	8.1 (1.9)					
H(2)	3.7 (0.8)					
H(3)	$4 \cdot 1 (-)$					
H(4)	3.6 (0.8)					
H(5)	4.7 (0.9)					

Result and discussion

The structure viewed along the *a* and *b* axes is shown in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. A layer of ammonium ions, lying in the $(40\overline{4})$ -planes, has a layer of chloroacetate residues on either side, and vice versa, and the packing between the ammonium ion and the chloroacetate residue is dominated by a three-dimensional network of hydrogen bonds. Moreover, two chloroacetate residues are related to one another by a symmetry centre, across which they are linked by a hydrogen bond involving the acidic hydrogen atom. According to Shrivastava & Speakman (1961), these structural features of ammonium hydrogen di-chloroacetate are



Fig.1. A composite drawing of the final electron-density map, viewed along b axis. Contours are drawn at intervals of 4 e.Å⁻³ for the chlorine atom and 2 e.Å⁻³ for the other atoms, beginning with 2 e.Å $^{-3}$.

MIZUHIKO ICHIKAWA

Table 4. Observed and calculated structure factors (\times 10)

K FC FC	< FO FC	κ FU FL	K FO FC	K FO FC	K FJ FC	κ Ρύ Ρς	K FO FC	K FO FC	K FO FC
H+L= 0 C 2 75 -59	7 31 -23	4 544-545 6 25 21	9 109-107 11 94 94	10 44 43	11 34 34 15 30 15	2 77 70	4 29 27 ∺.L= 11 4	6 49 -48	11 56 -55 Hal= -2 6
4 462-464 6 506-500	".L=-12 1 2 51 -55	8 149 153 12 59 54	15 37 -40 H.Le -4 2	1 42 -44 3 29 -27	H.L= 2 3 2 465 448	6 79 79 10 83 -8r	5 30 29 H.L=-16 5	10 32 26 12 25 -24	0 104 -94 2 90 -85
9 64 -53 10 145-141	4 50 -51 6 37 -51	14 24 24 H. 5 1	0 196 206 2 131-102	7 48 43 9 36 32	4 249 238 6 329 323	12 87 -90 H.L= -5 4	6 30 -25 H.L=-15 5	14 36 -20 H•L= 3 5	4 118-123 6 128 138
12 113 107	8 37 -28	1 97 +96 3 420 403	4 226 220	11 35 -26 H+L= 12 2	8 32 -15 10 208-215	1 52 -58 3 126-136	5 27 -24 7 26 -27	1 103 -98 3 133-131	8 48 -31 10 66 71
16 35 31	1 95 93	5 32 22	8 105 114 10 32 27	8 25 -5	$12 \ 43 \ -43 \ 14 \ 45 \ 48$	7 108 130 9 137 155	H.L=-14 5 6 33 36	5 299-307 7 41 36	12 73 61 H.L= -1 6
1 145-127	5 102-104	9 173-175	12 98 88	4 76 71	H.L= 3 3 1 183-185	11 66 -79 H,L= -4 4	10 36 -27 H.L-13 5	9 78 81 11 57 64	1 286 287 3 33 -21
5 52 -34	9 66 -63	15 45 45	1 255 227	1 66 67	5 49 -36	0 811 869 2 460 484	1 78 -81 3 60 -59	15 25 -6 H.L= 4 5	5 191-187 7 122-123
9 94 93	H.L=-10 1	2 57 54	5 268-265	9 38 37	9 118 114	6 138-146	7 31 35	8 65 -63	11 42 44
13 97 - 47 15 22 11	8 26 -15	6 109-114	9 61 48 11 28 +26	2 50 -41	15 26 -22 H.L. 4 3	$10 \ 29 \ 37$ $12 \ 22 \ -5$	2 71 -74	H.L= 5 5	H.L= 0 6
H.L= 2 C 2 320 320	H.L9 1 1 170-172	10 75 78 H.L= 7 1	13 27 24 15 42 48	H.L=-11 3 1 28 -30	2 92 -96	H.L= -3 4 1 38 25	8 38 -24 H.L=-11 5	3 55 61	2 148-158 4 106 106
4 227 229 6 331 325	3 337-340 5 36 34	1 101 102 3 245-241	H.L -2 2 0 371-369	3 92 88 5 116 117	6 80 -80 10 113 126	3 102 90 5 95 98	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	6 52 -8 8 166 173
8 39 33 10 40 -40	7 33 -35	5 301-291 7 21 -14	2 767-778	7 24 19 9 52 -53	14 38 -45 H.L= 5 3	7 73 56	9 26 -17 11 57 -59	H.L= 6 5 2 197-194	10 30 = 27 12 69 = 66
14 22 26	H,L= =8 1	11 29 21	ь /50 735 8 279 287	H.L=-10 3	1 418 419 3 343 340	11 46 -43 13 77 -80	H.LE-10 5 2 26 30	4 93 -97 8 36 24	$H_{+}L = 1$ 6
"+L" 3 C	8 52 -48 10 49 -47	H ₁ L ^m B 1	14 52 -59	6 114 117	5 82 80 11 72 -67	0 198-217	4 136-139	H L 7 5	1 79 -66
3 211 194 5 40 28	12 45 -9 14 53 52	4 50 39 8 23 -10	H.L= -1 2 1 53 56	12 51 -58	2 52 -56	4 373 381 6 70 80	10 77 73	2 94 96	9 51 57
7 454-452 9 272-278	H.L= -7 1 1 74 57	10 44 -48 H,L= 9 1	3 116-118 5 324-307	1 28 - 37	8 47 50	8 199 219 10 69 -79	1 114-117	H.L= 9 5	H.L.= 2 6
11 65 65 13 89 98	3 270 264 5 23 14	1 41 - 41 3 26 15	7 149-134 9 167 171	5 157-163 7 96 93	12 31 29 14 35 -24	16 31 -25 H.L= -1 4	5 63 -71 7 176-177	3 34 -24 9 26 12	2 125 132 4 75 -77
15 33 -32 Hill= 4 0	9 123-126	5 81 84 7 90 -94	11 67 62 H.L= 0 2	9 28 21 11 73 74	H.L= 7 3 1 161-168	1 249 259 3 401 433	9 30 -9 11 88 98	H.L=-16 6 6 26 8	6 105-105 8 120-124
4 162 155	H.L= -6 1	9 29 - 30 15 31 31	2 453 431	15 29 -23 H.L -8 3	3 67 -73 5 26 -24	5 184-177 7 330-353	H.L= -8 5 2 155 148	H,L=-15 6 1 36 -37	10 30 33 12 43 45
8 149-143	4 379-370	2 122-123	6 254-251	2 409 406 4 214 207	7 58 67 9 35 37	9 74 -82 11 51 53	4 87 93 6 51 60	0 24 - 34	14 24 13 H•L= 3 6
12 23 17	8 97 88 10 174 167	6 31 -21	10 22 19	10 60 -49	13 36 -35	17 32 -23	10 99-102	H.L=-13 6	3 24 24
16 30 -6 ∺.L= 5 0	H.L= -5 1 1 149-138	H,L= 11 1 1 26 -29	14 86 93 16 32 -19	H.L= -7 3 1 126 119	2 82 83 4 116 113	0 81 50 2 122 121	H,L= _7 5	5 23 2 H.L=-12 6	9 26 -20 H.L. 4 6
1 233-233 3 20 -31	3 139 130 5 260-253	7 37 39 9 62 -51	18 34 -13 H,L= 1 2	3 78 78 5 338 337	6 93 91 8 28 -23	4 82 85 6 175 175	3 227-214 5 90 -86	0 54 49 2 88 -92	0 209-208 2 60 -62
7 220 208	7 277-276	H.L= 12 1 2 34 46	3 94 81 5 530 524	7 151-151 9 130 126	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8 198-219 10 55 -59	7 212 209 9 22 -10	4 46 -45 6 43 46	4 42 44 6 53 56
11 124-123	15 27 25	4 33 23 6 24 -22 8 47 -46	11 23 19	15 45 -36	H.L= 9 3 3 32 - 30	12 21 18 14 29 -19	13 23 -1 H.L6 5	8 69 73 H.L=-11 6	8 38 45 H.L. 5 6
15 23 -9 H.L= 6 0	2 357 360 4 397 374	H.L.= 13 1 5 30 -28	H.L. 2 2 U 84 84	2 236-223	H,L= 10 3 2 24 -7	1 223-239 3 135-141	4 112-131 6 82 -92	5 110-106 7 56 -53	5 77 -79 7 70 -69
0 681 669 2 181 181	6 69 71 8 137-140	7 31 -37 H.L=-14 2	2 524-512 4 344 325	6 77 75 8 99 96	4 42 -43 8 40 35	5 208 225 7 219 241	12 56 67 16 26 19	9 66 67 H.L≖-10 6	11 30 26 H.L. 6 6
6 359-367 8 23 21	10 248-251 12 39 35	6 29 -35	6 118-113 8 19 -4	10 77 85	H.L. 11 3 1 27 19	9 57 63 11 63 -69	H.L= -5 5 1 345 331	0 70 -52	0 83 76 2 48 -45
10 57 -58 12 30 -26	H.L= -3 1 1 232 227	H.L=-13 2 1 49 48	14 32 -35	16 45 -51 Hale -5 3	5 42 42 7 30 -22	15 33 -23	5 204 212	10 27 -28	6 30 22
16 32 24 H.L= 7 0	5 94 <u>-96</u> 7 133 126	3 53 -49 7 41 -39	1 524 515 3 111-114	1 36 34 3 378 363	H,L= 12 3 2 27 19	0 275 286 2 354 372	9 48 -33 11 106-109	1 156-168	1 67 -72
1 203 219	9 166 154 11 108-120	11 37 31 H.L=-12 2	5 378-366 7 132-129	7 202 208 9 204-196	H.L -16 4 2 37 35	4 166-178 6 280-295	15 35 38 H.L= -4 5	5 187 180 7 65 67	7 48 44 9 36 32
5 130-133 7 39 43	13 87 86 H.L= -2 1	0 117 - 118 6 65 64	9 44 -36 11 74 73	11 96 -88 13 49 57	H.L -15 4 5 27 15	1_0 52 51 12 53 -61	2 40 46 4 105-104	11 23 2 15 31 -33	H.L= 8 6 2 45 33
11 29 24 13 32 - 37	8 153-134	H.L=-11 2	15 29 24	HIL -4 3	0 26 24	14 35 25 H.L= 3 4	6 27 - 32 8 44 30	H.L= -8 6	6 28 -24 H.L. 9 6
H.L= 8 0	14 31 23	$ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 635-623	4 549 532	3 63 73	3 79 -90	14 38 -42	4 107-105	5 24 14 H.L==15 7
2 72 -70 6 270 275	H.L= -1 1 1 36 38	9 32 33 11 29 30	4 147-135 6 136 125	8 60 -56 10 33 -48	9 35 -46	9 56 -66 11 36 -26	1 66 -55	8 48 -45 10 92 97	H.L=-14 7
8 29 -20 1 ₀ 29 -31	5 28 -22 9 309-305	H.L=-10 2 0 183 181	8 229 229 10 98 105	12 79 -89 HiL= -3 3	0 43 -46 43 47	H.L. 4 4 0 175-185	5 478-491 9 38 42	12 29 24 14 31 29	6 50 37 10 30 14
12 55 -49	11 67 67	2 30 -29 4 43 -37	12 120=120 H+L= 5 2	1 268-266 3 460-454	6 46 41 8 39 44	2 242-256 4 176 193	11 98 114 13 30 38	H,L= _7 6 1 110 121	H,L==13 7 1 28 25
1 23 13	2 435 425	8 26 -10	5 65 65	5 305-294	10 52 -53 12 30 -29	6 156 171 8 63 68	H.L -2 5 2 259 265	3 34 37 5 122-125	5 50 -47 7 31 25
7 161-155	6 310-362 8 102 90	14 29 27	9 38 34 11 24 -21	11 86 89	1 81 85	14 41 -44	4 296 301 6 57 -54 4 200-189	11 29 -28	2 117 109
11 51 -49 H.L= 10 0	10 64 70	3 77 - 75 5 45 42	H.LE 6 2 0 310 309	15 24 -12 H.L -2 3	7 22 -13	1 40 31 3 137 142	10 57 -58	H.L= -6 6 0 30 -31	H.L=+11 7 1 49 -40
$ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	16 28 - 31 18 30 33	7 64 49 H.L= -8 2	2 91 92 4 109-114	2 741 730 4 218 206	13 23 -13 H.L=-10 4	5 44 -42 7 90 -94	14 25 30 16 39 35	2 235-240	3 69 78 5 44 50
6 49 -49 8 43 -38	H.L. 1 1 1 405-406	0 117-122 2 191-190	6 92 -91 8 129-131	6 198-189 8 24 -16	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	9 33 -39 H+L= 6 4	H.L= -1 5 1 270-265	6 84 80 8 203 213	13 48 -43 H.L=-10 7
10 31 -17 12 43 37	3 548-560 5 416-406	4 106-114	12 78 82 H.L. 7 2	10 44 -26	4 153-156 8 77 -91	0 67 71 2 126 131	3 125-126 5 190 197	12 50 -54 14 39 -38	2 76 -76 4 24 -33
1 36 - 33	9 168 169	16 44 47 16 33 -32	3 105 109	1 886 877	H,L= -9 4	4 32 -30 6 46 -48 8 81 -97	7 62 58	1 95 91	8 67 60 12 33 31
5 81 78 7 35 36	15 29 -29 H.L= 2 1	H.L= -7 2 1 199 197	9 78 73 H.L.= 8 2	5 475 467 7 167-163	3 151 156	10 23 -8 H+L= 7 4	H.L= 0 5	5 85 -70	1 86 70
9 31 -15 H.L= 12 0	2 643 647 4 507 499	5 261-259 7 152-145	0 116-126 2 118-123	11 66 -72 15 52 -46	11 64 -71 13 62 -63	1 104-109 5 78 86	4 463-466	11 103 103 15 29 -26	5 79 84
0 52 50 2 37 38	$ 6 119 - 114 \\ 8 161 - 161 $	y 129 128 13 74 66	4 90 94 6 60 63	17 25 12 H,L= 0 3	H.L -8 4 2 115-123	7 28 43 9 30 22	8 161 162 10 29 -22	H.L4 6 0 86 90	11 49 -42 13 60 54
6 04 -01 12 43 -30	10 108-107	G 448-454	8 40 36 10 32 -34	2 444-449	4 147 160 6 84 -80	11 23 -12 13 34 -25	12 45 49 14 35 35	2 174 187 4 28 -19	H.L= -8 7 4 52 58
1 61 59 5 55 -51	H ₄ L= 3 1 1 81 _73	2 211 147 4 75 69 6 94 92	Hil= 9 2 1 100 103	8 207 202 10 203 192	10 28 28	0 96 100	1 163 167	6 124-124 8 117-130	6 142 151 10 49 -55
7 28 8 H.L 14 0	3 577-558 5 146 148	8 210-218 10 71 65	5 60 -66 7 68 -63	14 31 -32 18 28 -23	H.L= -7 4	4 38 -38 H.L. 9 4	5 169 174 7 141-144	12 34 48	H.L= -7 7
6 39 31 H.L=-15 1	7 35 -40 9 41 -42	16 32 2 H.L= -5 2	11 60 63 H.L= 10 2	H.L. 1 3 1 6C2-578	7 180-201 9 197-214	1 77 83 3 21 13	9 95 -89 11 47 -36	H.L= -3 6 1 450-451	3 77 -64 5 82 -85
3 28 -45 H+L=-13 1	11 25 -63	1 740-729 3 204-204	0 33 -24 4 63 -69	3 100 90 5 82 84	11 42 56 13 64 72	5 56 -61 7 37 -30	13 31 29 H.L= 2 5	3 194 182 5 235 223	7 45 36 9 116 110
5 28 - 6	2 652-655	7 332 319	6 23 12 8 38 36	9 121-111	0 406-424	0 46 -47	2 103 98 4 162 163	7 45 43 9 30 47	11 54 53 13 31 -44

757

AMMONIUM HYDROGEN DI-CHLOROACETATE.I

Table 4 (cont.)





Fig. 2. A composite drawing of the final difference electrondensity map, viewed along b axis. The contribution of the hydrogen atoms were excluded from the calculated structure factors. Contours are drawn at intervals of 0.1 e.Å⁻³, beginning with 0.2 e.Å⁻³.

characteristic of the acid salts, MHX₂, of monocarboxylic acids, HX, belonging to Type A. In Type B two acidic radicals are crystallographically distinct. Type A acid salts are found in several other acids, namely, phenylacetic (Speakman, 1949; Bacon & Curry, 1957, 1960; Manojlović & Speakman, 1968), p-hydroxybenzoic (Skinner & Speakman, 1951; Manojlović, 1968), o-nitrobenzoic (Shrivastava & Speakman, 1961), acetic (Speakman & Mills, 1961), p-chlorobenzoic (Mills & Speakman, 1963), tifluoroacetic (Golič & Speakman, 1965), aspirin (Manojlović & Speakman, 1967; Sequeira, Berkebile & Hamilton, 1967).

The ammonium ion

The ammonium ion lies on a twofold axis surrounded by oxygen atoms from six different carboxyl groups, making hydrogen bonds with them. The interatomic distances and angles of the ammonium ion and the hydrogen bond are listed in Table 5. The atom H(2) is adjacent to the atom $O(2^{i\nu})$, while H(3) is adjacent to $O(2^{i})$ and O(1).





Fig. 3. The structure viewed along *a* axis. The crystallographically symmetrical hydrogen bonds are shown by broken lines.



Fig. 4. The structure viewed along b axis.

Table 5. Interatomic distances and bond angles with their standard deviations

The e.s.d.'s given in parentheses refer to the last figure.

	Amm	ionium ion	
N—H(2) N—H(3)	1·01 (4) Å 0·86 (3)	H(2)-NH(2 ¹¹) H(2)-NH(3) H(2)-NH(3 ¹¹) H(3)-NH(3 ¹¹)	110 (4)° 115 (3) 99 (4) 121 (5)
	Chloroa	cetate residue	
C(1)-Cl C(1)-C(2) C(2)-O(1) C(2)-O(2)	1·768 (4) 1·506 (4) 1·278 (4) 1·225 (3)	Cl—–C(1)–C(2) C(1)–C(2)–O(1) C(1)–C(2)–O(2) O(1)–C(2)–O(2)	113·4 (2) 111·4 (2) 123·2 (3) 125·4 (3)
C(1)-H(4) C(1)-H(5)	0·96 (3) 0·99 (5)	Cl—C(1)-H(4) H(4)-C(1)-H(5) H(5)-C(1)-Cl H(4)-C(1)-C(2) H(5)-C(1)-C(2)	104 (3) 111 (3) 109 (2) 112 (2) 108 (2)
	Hydr	ogen bonds	
$\begin{array}{l} N & \ldots O(2^{i\nu}) \\ H(2) \ldots O(2^{i\nu}) \\ N & \ldots O(2^{i}) \\ H(3) \ldots O(2^{i}) \\ N & \ldots O(1) \\ H(3) \ldots O(1) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2 \cdot 880 & (3) \\ 1 \cdot 90 & (4) \\ 3 \cdot 148 & (3) \\ 2 \cdot 32 & (3) \\ 2 \cdot 926 & (4) \\ 2 \cdot 55 & (4) \end{array}$	$N - H(2) O(2^{iv})$ $N - H(3) O(2^{i})$ $N - H(3) O(1)$ $C(2) - H(1) O(1^{i})$	163 (3) 161 (3) 108 (3) 114·8 (3)
$O(1) \dots O(1^{i})$	2·432 (5)		
	Superscripts	refer to atoms at:	
$i_{\frac{1}{2}} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y,$	1 - z	iii - x, y, -	$\frac{1}{2} - z$

The chloroacetate residue

The best plane of the carboxyl group and C(1) is represented by the equation

-0.6279X + 0.7488Y + 0.2121Z = 2.4190,



Fig. 5. Bond distances and angles in the chloroacetate residue.

where the direction cosines are referred to the orthogonal axes *a*, *b* and *c*^{*}, and *X*, *Y* and *Z* are expressed in Å. The deviations of the atoms from the plane are: O(1) 0.003, O(2) 0.004, C(1) 0.003, C(2) - 0.010 Å.

The Cl atom lies 0.21 Å out of the plane.

The bond lengths and angles in the chloroacetate residue are presented in Table 5 and Fig. 5. The Cl-C(1) bond length of 1.768 Å is in good agreement with the value of 1.767 Å given by Sutton (1965). The bond length of C(1)-C(2), 1.506 Å, agrees with that found in other Type A acid salts and also with that given by Sutton (1965). The C-O bond lengths are 1.278 Å for C(2)–O(1) and 1.225 Å for C(2)–O(2), and the C-C-O angles are 111.4° for C(1)-C(2)-O(1) and $123 \cdot 2^{\circ}$ for C(1)–C(2)–O(2). In the fully ionized carboxyl group the two C-O bond-lengths and the two C-C-O angles are equal, while in the un-ionized carboxyl group they differ (Hahn, 1957). The difference of the bond lengths, 0.053 Å, and the deviation of the angles from 118°, seem to indicate that the carboxyl group in ammonium hydrogen di-chloroacetate is intermediate in character between fully ionized and neutral, as is pointed out in the study of other Type A acid salts (Manojlović & Speakman, 1968; Manojlović, 1968). The distance of Cl-Clⁱⁱⁱ, 3.604 Å, is nearly equal to the sum of van der Waals radii. There is no abnormal intermolecular contact.

The short hydrogen bond

The chief interest with this compound lies in the crystallographically symmetrical hydrogen bonds, which are shown by broken lines in Figs. 3 and 4. The length $O(1) \cdots O(1^{i})$, is 2.432 (5) Å, in agreement with 2.446 (3) Å which is the weighted mean length of seven Type A acid salts (Speakman, 1967). As in most Type A acid salts, the symmetry element affecting the hydrogen bond is a centre of symmetry which requires the proton to be exactly at the mid-point between the oxygen atoms. X-ray analysis, however, cannot distinguish the following two cases: (a) the case in which the proton vibrates in a symmetrical singleminimum potential well at the mid-point, and (b) the case in which it is distributed at random in a set of symmetrical double-minimum wells, situated close to either side of the mid-point. However, even with the neutron diffraction analysis, which is more powerful than the X-ray method for studying the location of hydrogen atoms, it would be difficult to distinguish the two cases.

Meanwhile it is known that the crystals having a very short and possibly symmetrical hydrogen bond show anomalous infrared spectra, although the assignment of each band does not seem to have been sufficiently established. There is a remarkably intense background absorption covering a wide region, of which the maximum lies between 800 and 900 cm⁻¹, with a transmission 'window' in it. The infrared spectrum of ammonium hydrogen di-chloroacetate has been measured at room temperature (Ichikawa, 1970). The ex-

pected anomaly was found: the very broad and strong absorption lies in the region of 400–1600 cm⁻¹ with its maximum at 900 cm⁻¹.

Judging from the results so far available, which are consistent with other Type A acid salts, it seems probable that the $O(1)\cdots O(1^i)$ bond is not only crystallographically, but also truly, of the symmetrical singleminimum type. The problem of the symmetrical OHO bond is interesting, since a model of order-disorder type is accepted in most hydrogen-bonded ferroelectrics. Structure analysis in the ferroelectric phase (at about 80°K) is now in progress. A more detailed discussion will be given on the basis of the structures of the para- and ferroelectric phases.

I am very grateful to all the staff in this laboratory for their valuable discussion and cooperation. I also wish to thank Professor K. Nakatsu of Kwansei Gakuin University for his valuable discussion and suggestion on the symmetrical hydrogen bond, and Professor Y. Matsunaga and Professor E. Osawa for their courtesy in the measurement of the infrared spectra. Thanks are also due to Dr T. Sakurai for kindly giving the information on 'UNICS', and to the Computing Centre of the University of Tokyo and the Hokkaido University Computing Center for making the HITAC 5020E and the FACOM 230-60 computer available to me.

References

BACON, G. E. & CURRY, N. A. (1957). Acta Cryst. 10, 524. BACON, G. E. & CURRY, N. A. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 717. GOLIČ, L. & SPEAKMAN, J. C. (1965). J. Chem. Soc. p. 2530. HAHN, T. (1957). Z. Kristallogr. 109, 438.

ICHIKAWA, M. (1970). Unpublished work.

ICHIKAWA, M. & MITSUI, T. (1966). Phys. Rev. 152, 495. International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1959). Vol.

- II, and (1962). Vol. III. Birmingham: Kynoch Press. MANOJLOVIĆ, L. & SPEAKMAN, J. C. (1967). J. Chem. Soc.
- (A). p. 971. MANOJLOVIĆ, L. & SPEAKMAN, J. C. (1968). Acta Cryst.
- MANOLOVIC, L. & SPEAKMAN, J. C. (1968). Acta Cryst. B24, 323.
- MANOJLOVIĆ, L. (1968). Acta Cryst. B24, 326.
- MILLS, H. H. & SPEAKMAN, J. C. (1963). J. Chem. Soc. p. 4355.
- PHILLIPS, D. C. (1956). Acta Cryst. 9, 819.
- ROLLETT, J. S. & SPARKS, R. A. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 273.
- SEQUEIRA, A., BERKEBILE, C. A. & HAMILTON, W. C. (1967). J. Mol. Struc. 1, 283.
- SHRIVASTAVA, H. N. & SPEAKMAN, J. C. (1961). J. Chem. Soc. p. 1151.
- SKINNER, J. M. & SPEAKMAN, J. C. (1951). J. Chem. Soc. p. 185.
- SPEAKMAN, J. C. (1949). J. Chem. Soc. p. 3357.
- SPEAKMAN, J. C. (1967). Chem. Commun. p. 32.
- SPEAKMAN, J. C. & MILLS, H. H. (1961). J. Chem. Soc. p. 1164.
- SUTTON, L. E. (1965). Tables of Interatomic Distances and Configuration in Molecules and Ions, Supplement. London: The Chemical Society.
- UNICS (1967). Universal Crystallographic Computing System. Editor T. SAKURAI, Crystallographic Society of Japan.
- WILSON, A. J. C. (1949). Acta Cryst. 2, 378.
- YAMAMOTO, T., NAKAMURA, N. & CHIHARA, H. (1968). J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 25, 291.
- YAMAMOTO, T., NAKAMURA, N. & CHIHARA, H. (1970). J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 28, Supplement, 112 (Proc. Second Intern. Meeting Ferroelectricity, Kyoto, 1969).

Acta Cryst. (1972). B28, 760

Barium Aluminate Hydrates. V.* The Crystal Structure of γ-Ba[AlO(OH)₂]₂

BY LESLEY S. DENT GLAASER AND R. GIOVANOLI⁺

Department of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Meston Walk, Old Aberdeen, AB9 2UE, Scotland

(Received 30 July 1971)

 γ -BaO.Al₂O₃.2H₂O is orthorhombic, *Fdd*2, with a = 20.70, b = 8.977, c = 6.416 Å, Z = 8. X-ray structural analysis shows that it contains chains of composition $[AlO(OH)_2^{-1}]_{\infty}$, made up of tetrahedra sharing corners. Its formula should therefore be written γ -Ba $[AlO(OH)_2]_2$.

Introduction

Carlson & Wells (1948) were the first to produce monobarium aluminate dihydrate and their compound was designated ' α ' by Thilo & Gessner (1965) when a second polymorph (' β ') was found. Ahmed & Dent Glasser (1970) solved the structure of the α -polymorph and (1971) prepared a third compound of the same empirical formula which they called ' γ '. This present work deals with the γ modification. Crystal data from Ahmed & Dent Glasser (1971) are reproduced in Table 1. The compound crystallizes as plates lying on (100).

^{*} Part IV: Dent Glasser & Giovanoli, Acta Cryst. (1972). B28, 519.

[†] Permanent address: Laboratorium für Elektronmikroskopie der Universität Bern, Freiestrasse 3, CH-3012 Berne, Switzerland.